

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viriginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	IG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/600,831	07/21/2000		TERENCE JAMES DAVEY	5017-5179	CONFIRMATION NO.
21888	7590	01/23/2004	EXAMINER		
THOMPSO ONE US BA				CORCORAN, GLADYS PIAZZA	
SUITE 3500 ST LOUIS, MO 63101				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SI LOUIS, I	MO 63101	O 03101		1733	<u> </u>
				DATE MAILED: 01/23/2004	ļ.

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/600,831	DAVEY, TERENCE JAMES				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Gladys JP Corcoran	1733				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 16 December 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	Old abandonment of this applica	ation. A proper reply to a				
l —	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period o fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of t (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CFI fextension and the corresponding amonhe shortened statutory period for reply a later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension until the fee. The appropriate extension or appropriate extension or appropriate extension.				
A Notice of Appeal was filed on 16 December 2003. 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 2.2). The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	t 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of	within the period set forth in fithe appeal.				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
 (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the 						
issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: <u>The claim status indicators are incorrect.</u> 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	<u>See 37 CFR 1.121</u> . on(s):					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would to canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	pe allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consid —·	dered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered beca raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	use it is not directed SOLELY to	s issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(sexplanation of how the new or amended claims working the proposed amendment (sexplanation of how the new or amended claims working).	s) a)⊡ will not be entered or b)[uld be rejected is provided belov	☑ will be entered and an w or appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,5-7,19-21 and 25</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. \square The drawing correction filed on is a) \square approved or b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>						
		Substantial Substa				

Continuation of 10. Other:

The Amendment filed December 16, 2003 will not be entered because the claim status indicators are incorrect as set forth in 37 CFR 1.121. However, should Applicant re-filed the Amendment with correct status indicators, the rejections of claim 25 in paragraphs 2-5 of the previous Office Action filed September 10, 2003 would be overcome, however the remaining rejections would be maintained. It is noted that all rejections as stated in the previous Office Action filed September 10, 2003 are currently maintained.